

# Surveillance cameras in university auditorium violated professors' human rights

```
[et_pb_section bb_built="1" _builder_version="3.0.100"
background_image="http://davidk423.sg-host.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/bdbf_final-stages-1-4-1.jpg" custom_padding="|||"
saved_tabs="all" next_background_color="#ffffff"
global_module="2165"] [et_pb_row global_parent="2165"
custom_padding="|||" _builder_version="3.0.100"] [et_pb_column
type="2_3"] [et_pb_post_title global_parent="2165" meta="off"
featured_image="off" text_color="light"
_builder_version="3.0.99" custom_margin="||0px|"
custom_padding="||0px|" /] [et_pb_text global_parent="2165"
background_layout="dark" _builder_version="3.0.100"
text_font="|||||||" custom_margin="0px|||"
custom_padding="0px|||"] [post_details] [Social-Share]
[/et_pb_text] [/et_pb_column] [et_pb_column
type="1_3"] [et_pb_post_title global_parent="2165" title="off"
meta="off" _builder_version="3.0.99"
/] [/et_pb_column] [/et_pb_row] [/et_pb_section] [et_pb_section
bb_built="1" admin_label="section"
prev_background_color="#000000"] [et_pb_row admin_label="row"
background_position="top_left" background_repeat="repeat"
background_size="initial"] [et_pb_column type="3_4"] [et_pb_text
background_layout="light" use_border_color="off"
border_color="#ffffff" border_style="solid"
_builder_version="3.0.99"]
```

A university's decision to install surveillance cameras in student auditoriums amounted to a breach of their human rights to privacy of the two professors who taught in them.

The University of Montenegro had decided to install surveillance cameras in student auditoriums. It claimed it was doing so for the protection of property and people and in order to monitor teaching. The two affected professors brought claims challenging that decision.

The European Court of Human Rights held that the decision to install the cameras was a breach of the professors' privacy rights. Although Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights bestows the right to respect for private and family life, "private life" should be interpreted broadly to include private social lives. This may also include professional activity which takes place in public.

In addition to teaching, the professors interacted with their students socially in the auditoriums. It was therefore like any other workplace, so surveillance of it (whether done openly or covertly) constitutes an intrusion into employees' private lives.

In that case, the university's surveillance could only continue if it went no further than is necessary in pursuit of a legitimate aim. Whilst protection of people and property could be a legitimate aim in the abstract, in this case there was no evidence of people or property being at risk. Therefore, the measures could not be justified by reference to that aim. The monitoring of teaching, on the other hand, was not capable of being a legitimate aim.

Antović and Mirković v Montenegro (Application no. 70 838/13)  
[2017] ECHR 1068

[/et\_pb\_text][et\_pb\_column type="1\_4"][et\_pb\_sidebar orientation="right" area="sidebar-1" background\_layout="light" remove\_border="off" show\_border="on" /][et\_pb\_row][et\_pb\_section]