RELUTANT RETURNERS: DO YOUR EMPLOYEES HAVE THE RIGHT TO WORK FROM HOME FOREVER?

> Polly Rodway, Partner Rebecca Rubin, Associate

Thank you for joining The webinar will begin shortly

BDBF



Reluctant returners: Do your employees have the right to work from home forever?



LUNCHTIME WEBINAR - 26 APRIL 2022

With the last of the Covid restrictions lifted on 1 April 2022, the path is clear for employers to embrace a return to office working. Yet one barrier remains the reluctant employee.

Many employers will encounter resistance to the prospect of returning to the office. Some pockets of the workforce will wish to continue homeworking, either indefinitely or for the foreseeable future. What can employers do when faced with reluctant returners?

Our expert team will discuss the following issues:

- . How do you determine an employee's place of work?
- Has the pandemic changed the place of work from office to home?
- . If not, can a reluctant returner make a flexible working request to work from home?
- Can you fairly dismiss an employee who simply refuses to return?
- Should those with special reasons for wanting to work from home (e.g. childcare responsibilities) be treated any differently?
- Is a fear of catching Covid enough to justify a refusal to return?

Date: Tuesday, 26 April 2022 Time: 12.00pm – 12.45pm Please click <u>here to register</u> for the webinar.



BDBF Employment Law www.bdbf.co.uk | 020 3828 0350





Polly Rodway Partner



BDBF

Rebecca Rubin Associate

Date	Homeworking or office working?
23 March 2020	 First national lockdown, meaning almost all office workers had to work from home
1 June 2020	 Stay at home order lifted. Guidance said office workers who could work from home should continue to do so (the guidance was relaxed slightly in August but tightened up again in October)
3 November 2020	 Second national lockdown, meaning almost all office workers had to work from home
2 December 2020	 Stay at home order lifted. Guidance said office workers who could work from home should continue to do so
6 January 2021	 Third national lockdown, meaning almost all office workers had to work from home
29 March 2021	 Stay at home order lifted. Guidance said office workers who could work from home should continue to do so
19 July 2021	Guidance said that workers could be returned to the office on a gradual basis
13 December 2021	 Guidance said that workers who could work from home should do so
19 January 2022	 Guidance said that workers could be returned to the office, with special consideration given to certain groups



What is the place of work?

 Place of work must be specified in the statement of employment of particulars (usually the employment contract)

Typically:

>the employer's premises; and

flexibility to move the employee to work elsewhere (this may be implied in exceptional circumstances)



What was the place of work during the pandemic?

During lockdowns and when WFH guidance in place

• Employers invoked the express or implied flexibility term to direct the employee to work from home **temporarily**

After WFH guidance lifted (i.e. 19 January 2022)

- No need to rely on flexibility term
- Default position is that place of work will revert to that stated in the contract



Three types of "reluctant returners"

1. Scenario 1: Those who simply have a preference for working from home (or another location)

2. Scenario 2: Those who wish to work from home because it facilitates the management of another demanding aspect of their lives

3. Scenario 3: Those who are fearful about catching Covid



Scenario 1

Employees who simply prefer to work from home (or another location)



Can these employees overturn the default place of work?

Option 1: Has there been an express agreement to vary to the place of work?

Option 2: Has there been an implied agreement to vary the place of work?

 Option 3: Can the employee make a successful flexible working request?



A reminder of the eight reasons for refusing a flexible working request

- 1. Burden of additional costs
- 2. Detrimental effect on the ability to meet customer demand
- 3. Inability to reorganise work among existing staff
- 4. Inability to recruit additional staff
- 5. Detrimental impact on quality
- 6. Detrimental impact on performance
- 7. Insufficiency of work during the periods the employee proposes to work
- 8. Planned structural changes



Can <u>these</u> employees be dismissed fairly if they refuse to return to the office?

- Is it a serious breach of an important term of the employment contract?
- What about the duty of trust and confidence?
- Dismissal:
 - Reason: Misconduct or possibly SOSR
 - Process: Fair process in accordance with Acas Code (sensible to comply even if SOSR dismissal)



Scenario 2

Employees who wish to work from home because it facilitates the management of another demanding aspect of their lives



Employees with childcare responsibilities

- Risk of indirect sex discrimination:
 - What is the discrimination? Dismissal for refusal to return to the office
 - > Does it disadvantage women? Yes, due to the "childcare disparity"
 - Is the discrimination justified? Is there a legitimate aim? Is dismissal a proportionate means of achieving the aim? Challenge will be to show that there were no less discriminatory alternatives available (e.g. some form of hybrid working or a different role)



Employees with caring responsibilities for a disabled person

- Risk of <u>associative</u> indirect disability discrimination:
 - What is the discrimination? Dismissal for refusal to return to the office
 - Does it disadvantage carers of disabled people? Yes, see Follows v Nationwide Building Society (ET)
 - Is the discrimination justified? Is there a legitimate aim? Is dismissal a proportionate means of achieving the aim? Again, the challenge will be to show that there were no less discriminatory alternatives available



Employees who are disabled

 Homeworking may help those with certain disabilities to manage their impairments more effectively
 >e.g. ME, CFS, mobility problems, anxiety, agoraphobia

Possible claims:

- Indirect disability discrimination
- Discrimination arising from disability
- Failure to make reasonable adjustments



Can these employees be dismissed fairly if they refuse to return to the office?

- Pathway to dismissal is more complicated but not impossible:
 - Give careful consideration to rationale for wanting a return to the office
 - Balance your aim against the employee's needs and consider compromises
 - If disabled, obtain medical evidence regarding risk and adjustments
 - > Identify the fair reason and follow a fair process



Scenario 3

Employees who are fearful about catching Covid



Possible legal claims from fearful employees

- Automatically unfair dismissal for health and safety reasons and/or blowing the whistle
- Employee only needs to show they had a reasonable belief that there was a health and safety danger (not that there was, in fact, such a danger)
- No qualifying service needed to bring such claims and damages uncapped



How have Tribunals dealt with such claims?

Unfairly dismissed

Employee who wished to stay at home in Employee who wished to stay at home because accordance with Government instructions but he was worried about catching Covid and agreed to do urgent or essential work infecting his vulnerable children, even though his employer had put health and safety measures in place Employee who wished to work from home Employee who refused to return to the office while the WFH guidance was in place and who because she felt unsafe attending work, even had concerns about health and safety risks in the though her employer had put health and safety office and the risk posed to his CEV girlfriend measures in place and offered compromises

> Employee who wanted to be stay at home and be furloughed, even though the employer needed him to perform work

Fairly dismissed

BDBF

What are the learning points?

- Fear of Covid in general is not enough belief must be that there is a danger arising in the workplace
- Employers who have taken health and safety measures, complied with guidance and engaged with employees will be in the best position
- These cases all concern the early part of the pandemic the circumstances of danger have changed
- Ensure that you can show that any dismissal is for the refusal to return itself and not the raising of concerns



But note that certain factors raise the risk

- Higher risk of serious illness
- Severe anxiety about returning
- Pregnancy
- Philosophical belief that prevents return



Conclusion: how to deal with reluctant returners

- 1. Handle flexible working requests carefully and beware of requests to work overseas
- 2. Update health and safety risk assessments
- 3. If disabled / CEV, obtain medical advice about risks and possible adjustments
- 4. If pregnant, assess risk and consider adjustments or suspension on full pay
- 5. Engage with employees about rationale, share risk assessments and respond to concerns
- 6. Be mindful of complicating factors
- 7. Give reasonable notice of return date and allowed a phased return
- 8. Instigate disciplinary action for remaining reluctant returners and follow a fair process before dismissal



Our contact details

Polly Rodway, Partner pollyrodway@bdbf.co.uk 020 3828 0357

Rebecca Rubin, Associate rebeccarubin@bdbf.co.uk 020 3176 0485

BDBF